

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Antonio Magnaghi et al.
Serial No: 10/646,565
Date Filed: August 22, 2003
Group Art Unit: 2616
Examiner: Ian N. Moore
Confirmation No. 9795
Title: DETECTION OF NETWORK
MISCONFIGURATIONS

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT - 37 C.F.R. § 1.121

In the Notice of Noncompliant Amendment mailed on October 23, 2007, the Examiner stated that the amendments to the drawings were non-compliant because "each sheet must be properly identified" in the top margin as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(d).

In response, Applicants hereby attach one replacement drawing sheet in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(d). This replacement drawing sheet includes the amendments that were previously made to FIGURE 1 by Applicants' Response to Office Action filed October 11, 2007.¹ Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and favorable action in this case.

¹ In Applicants' Response filed October 11, 2007, Applicants included two drawing sheets. One of these drawing sheets (which showed FIGURES 1-2) included amendments and was labeled "Replacement Sheet" in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(d). However, the other drawing sheet (which showed FIGURE 3) contained no drawing amendments and, thus, was not labeled. Accordingly, Applicants have only included the former drawing sheet (showing FIGURES 1-2) with this Response to Non-Compliant Amendment.

Applicants believe that no fee is due. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker Botts L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicants



Kurt M. Pankratz
Reg. No. 46,977
(214) 953-6584

Date: ^{Nov. 1}
~~October~~ __, 2007

Customer Number: **05073**